



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,063	11/08/2001	Prabhakar Gopalan	AUS920010770US1	6213

7590 10/20/2004
Frank C. Nicholas
CARDINAL LAW GROUP
Suite 2000
1603 Orrington Avenue
Evanston, IL 60201

EXAMINER

HONEYCUTT, KRISTINA B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2178

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/007,063	Applicant(s) GOPALAN, PRABHAKAR	
	Examiner Kristina B. Honeycutt	Art Unit 2178	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 November 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/8/2001</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application filed November 8, 2001; I.D.S. filed November 8, 2001.
2. Claims 1-21 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 11 and 21 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the date information" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 11 and 21 are rejected along the same rationale.
4. Claim 20 recites the limitation "the icon" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-13, 15-18 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mantha et al. (U.S. Patent 6163779) in view of Burman et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 20010010059).

Regarding independent claim 1, Mantha discloses receiving a selection of a portion of the Web page (col. 8, lines 40-42) and storing the selected portion of the Web page to the client local storage (col. 2, lines 24-26; col. 12, lines 7-11).

Mantha does not disclose determining the date information from a Web browser or linking the date information to the stored portion of the Web page. Burman teaches determining date information from a Web browser (p.8, para. 80) and linking the date information to the Web page (p.8, para. 80) since Burman discloses determining date information for transferring a Web page and it is obvious that the date information is linked to that particular Web page. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Mantha and Burman before him at the time the invention was made, to modify storing a Web page as taught by Mantha to include determining and linking date information to the Web page as taught by Burman, because associating date information with the Web page would allow the user to determine if the stored portion of the Web page was the most up-to-date version available. It would have been advantageous to one of ordinary skill to utilize such combination because the user would be able to easily determine if the stored version was current with the version

Art Unit: 2178

available through the Internet by quickly looking at the linked date information and comparing it with the date information for the online version.

Regarding dependent claim 2, Mantha discloses the portion of the Web page is selected from a group consisting of text data, image data, and site link data (col. 1, lines 12-20).

Regarding dependent claim 3, Mantha discloses storing the selected portion of the Web page comprises saving the selected portion to a main HTML file (col. 2, lines 24-26).

Regarding dependent claim 5, Mantha discloses the selected portion of the Web page includes image data that is stored in a separate file (col. 9, lines 52-62).

Regarding dependent claim 6, Mantha discloses linking a main HTML file to the separate image data file (col. 10, lines 11-15).

Regarding dependent claim 7, Mantha discloses the selected portion includes site link data that is stored in a separate file (col. 10, lines 22-25; col. 11, lines 8-10).

Regarding dependent claim 8, Mantha discloses linking a main HTML file to the separate site link data file (col. 12, lines 22-32).

Regarding claims 11-13, 15-18 and 21, the claims reflect a computer usable medium storing a program for performing and a system for performing the methods of claims 1-3 and 5-8 and are rejected along the same rationale.

Regarding dependent claim 20, Mantha discloses a link to the main HTML file from the "icon" (col. 12, lines 7-13).

6. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mantha et al. (U.S. Patent 6163779) in view of Burman et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 20010010059) in further view of Krause et al. (U.S. Patent 6266684).

Regarding dependent claim 4, Mantha does not disclose storing a second selected portion to a main HTML file. Krause teaches storing a second selected portion to an HTML file (col. 7, lines 6-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Mantha and Krause before him at the time the invention was made, to modify storing a portion of a Web page to an HTML file as taught by Mantha to include storing a second selected portion as taught by Krause, because storing one portion of a Web page to a main file and then storing another would allow the user to easily select and store portions of the Web page that are not conjoined in the page. It would have been advantageous to one of ordinary skill to utilize such combination because the user would be able to store a portion near the top of the Web page and

Art Unit: 2178

then store a portion near the bottom of the Web page without storing the information between the portions and still have the selected portions stored in the same file.

Regarding dependent claim 14, the claim reflects a computer usable medium storing a program for performing the method of claim 4 and is rejected along the same rationale.

7. Claims 9, 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mantha et al. (U.S. Patent 6163779) in view of Burman et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 20010010059) in further view of Chi (U.S. Pub. No. 20040199466).

Regarding dependent claim 9, Mantha does not disclose installing an icon on a Web browser. Chi teaches installing an icon on a Web browser (p.3, para. 41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Mantha and Chi before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the method taught by Mantha to include installing an icon on a Web browser as taught by Chi, because the icon would remain active without regard to the web sites the user is visiting, as taught by Chi (p.3, para. 41). It would have been advantageous to one of ordinary skill to utilize such combination because the user would be able to easily store portions of Web pages from the browser while visiting any web site by using the icon.

Regarding dependent claim 10, Mantha discloses a link to the main HTML file from the "icon" (col. 12, lines 7-13).

Regarding dependent claim 19, the claim reflects a computer usable medium storing a program for performing the method of claim 9 and is rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- System, method and computer program product for transcoding form content for display on this client devices (U.S. Pub. No. 20020038351)
- Apparatus and method for designating information to be retrieved over a computer network (U.S. Patent 6278449)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristina B. Honeycutt whose telephone number is 571-272-4123. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:00 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 703-308-5465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-4124.

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KBH

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephen S. Hong", with a stylized flourish at the end.

STEPHEN S. HONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER